

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/837,388 | 04/19/2001 | Jae Yoon Lee | 2658-0234P | 7290 |
| | 7590 05/12/200 ART KOLASCH & BI | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | CTT TTT 22010 0717 | LIN, JAMES | | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1792 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/12/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/837,388 | LEE ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Jimmy Lin | 1792 | |

| | Jimmy Lin | 1792 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in compliand | vit, or other evidence, we with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this As no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T | ing date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o | nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Offic late of the final rejection, e | ate extension fee the action; or (2) as wen if timely filed, | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. \(\sumeq\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) \(\sumeq\) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \(\sumeq\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying the | he issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | ejected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-C | compliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). | | · | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9,10,12-16 and 18-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| /Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792 | /Jimmy Lin/ Examiner, Art Unit 179 | 92 | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments of "forming barrier ribs...selected from the group consisting of polyimide and an acryl-group organic compound" and "forming pixel electrodes...after forming the barrier ribs on the substrate" raise new issues that require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the newly added limitations, which will not be entered. The arguments will be addressed upon entry of the amendments.